



Fac.Vet.Med.

# Veterinary Medical Journal - Giza



Cairo University



**Volume 63**

**Issue 4**

**October 2017**

Published by

***Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University***

**Accredited from national authority for Quality Assurance and Accreditation**

**(ISSN 1110 - 1423)**





**Veterinary Medical Journal – Giza**  
**Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University**  
**(ISSN 1110 – 1423)**

*Accredited from National Authority for Quality Assurance and Accreditation*

**Giza, 12211 - Egypt**



**Studying the effect of gamma irradiation on bovine offal's infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type***

\*Shaltout, F. A., \*\*Riad, E. M., \*\*\* T.E.S. Ahmed and \*\*\*\*AbouElhassan, Asmaa, A.

\*Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Food Control Dept. Benha Univ, \*\*Animal Health Research Institute,

\*\*\*Radioisotope Dept. Nuclear. Research Center. Atomic Energy Authority \*\*\*\*General Organization for Veterinary services

**Abstract**

The presence of microbial pathogens on human foods is a serious global Problem even in highly industrialized and developed countries. The awareness of foodborne diseases by consumers will increase, and therefore, there is a pressure to improve the safety of the food supply. Gamma ray is highly effective in inactivating microorganisms in various foods and offers a safe alternative method of food decontamination. In the present study, a total of 35 samples from T.B. infected carcasses (15 samples of offal's ((7) liver & (8) Kidney) and 15 samples from different lymph nodes((10) Hepatic & (5) Renal)) were collected from some governmental Egyptian abattoirs confirmed to be infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* by Real Time PCR were experimental treated with 0.0, 2.5, 5 and 7.5 KGy of gamma rays then, reexamined using RT-PCR for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* infection. The results indicated that the reduction rate is decreased by increase the dose level of Gamma rays. At 0.0 kGy all samples still 100 % infected & 46.6% still infected at 2.5 kGy & 20 % still infected at 5 kGy and, At 7.5 % all examined samples are failed to be detected of T.B. Infected offal's. More over, the examined samples of T.B. Infected lymph nodes showed that at 0 kGy all samples still 100 % infected & 53.3 % still infected at 2.5 kGy & 13.3 % still infected at 5 kGy and, at 7.5 % all examined samples are free from *mycobacterium* infection. The effect on (Color & Odor & Texture) parameter after exposure to Gamma rays on T.B. infected samples proved that most of tested samples have slight changes in color (pale color), odor (characteristic odor of irradiation) and texture (friable) in the first 24 hours and all tested samples have been returned back into the normal parameter after 1 week.

The results of the present study showed that it's advisable to use the Gamma irradiation for saving a huge amount of condemned meat due to T.B infected cattle carcasses and using it as low grade meat.

**Key words:** *Mycobacterium bovis*, bovine offal's, Gamma irradiation.

**1-Introduction**

The presence of microbial pathogens in human foods is a serious global problem. Even in highly industrialized and developed countries like the United States, pathogen-contaminated foods and the resulting health and economic impacts are significant. According to *CDC (2004)*, each year Americans suffer 76 million infections, 325,000 hospitalizations, and approximately 5,000 deaths due to pathogen-contaminated foods. These events carry an estimated annual healthcare cost totaling 7 billion \$ (*USDA/ERS, 2000*). Consider also that more than 74 million lb of pathogen-contaminated meat and meat products were recalled between 2000 and 2003 (*USDA/FSIS, 2004*), and the need for pathogen reduction is clear.

Safety and efficiency of food irradiation have been approved by several authorities (FDA, USDA, WHO, FAO, etc.) and scientific societies based on extensive research (*Lagunas-Solar, 1995; Morehouse, 2002*). However, market success of irradiated foods has not been at the desired level. This is probably due to

consumers' erroneous fear that irradiated foods become radioactive and irradiation could form harmful compounds in food (*Resurreccion & Galvez, 1999; Oliveira & Sabato, 2002*). Moreover, negative information disseminated by opponents of irradiation could also affect consumer acceptance of irradiated food.

Tuberculosis (TB) is an important zoonotic disease caused by an intracellular acid-fast organism *Mycobacterium sp.* It has been recognized from 176 countries as one of the important bovine diseases causing great economic loss (*Martin et al., 1994; Hines et al., 1995; Samad, 2000*). TB is a contagious disease, which can affect most warm-blooded animals, including human being (*Radostits et al., 2000*).

Cattle, goats, and pigs are the domestic species most susceptible to infection, while horses are relatively resistant to infection. In cattle, exposure to this organism can result in a chronic disease that leads to significant economic losses by causing ill health and mortality. Moreover, human TB of animal origin caused by *M. bovis*



is becoming increasingly evident in developing countries (Prasad *et al.*, 2005).

Tuberculosis (TB) is a common and deadly infectious disease caused by mycobacterium, mainly *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*). One-third of the world's population has been exposed to the TB bacterium. It has a fatality rate of approximately 20%, even with intensive treatment (Bartzatt *et al.*, 2008).

Consumers are conservative, and they are reluctant to accept products processed by new technologies like as food irradiation method. This is often related to the fear and confusion about radiation itself and the lack of understanding of the process. The main worries of consumer organizations included safety, nutrition, detection, and labeling of irradiated products (Junqueira-Gonc-alves *et al.*, 2011). The concern about the food irradiation appears to center on the safety of the process. Giving science-based information on food irradiation leads to positive consumer approaches (Fox, 2002). Many consumers are primarily hostile to irradiation. By other means, "People think the irradiated product is radioactive," but when the process is made clear to them, they will become more in favor (Landgraf *et al.* 2006; Marcotte, 2005).

The resistance of the microorganisms against irradiation depends on different parameters such as type of food substance, the presence of oxygen and temperature (Dogbevi *et al.*, 1999 & Davis *et al.*, 2004). Generally, by increasing the radiation dose, more microorganisms will be killed. However, based on FAO/WHO/LAEA specialists committees, irradiation of food item with maximum dose of 10 kGray is allowed, without having any toxicological hazards for the consumer.

Today's, applying irradiation for preserving food substances has become prevalent in many countries and various studies have been conducted in this regard (Borsa *et al.*, 2004 & Unluturk *et al.*, 2007). Although national standards of different countries are dissimilar to some extent, using irradiation with certain doses is permitted only for certain products.

Currently, consumers are more interested in minimally processed food products without additives, improved safety and extend shelf life (Byrd-Bredbenner *et al.*, 2015; Khan & Oh, 2016). As there's a huge amount of meat

contaminated due to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* infection in the governmental Egyptian abattoirs, therefore, this study aimed to eliminate *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* in infected cattle carcasses.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Collection of Samples:

A total of 35 samples from T.B. infected (15 samples of offal's ((7) liver & (8) Kidney) and 15 samples from different lymph nodes((10) Hepatic & (5) Renal)) confirmed by RT-PCR in addition to 5 samples act as control were collected from some governmental Egyptian abattoirs from T.B. infected cattle carcasses in some Egyptian governments. The samples were kept in sterile plastic bags and transferred to the laboratory without undue delay in an ice box.

**2.2. Preparation of tissue samples (Marks, 1972):** Tissues of organs and lymph nodes showed the gross lesions were chopped into small pieces under aseptic condition and the fat was trimmed in sterile mortar containing sterile sand. The trimmed tissues were crushed by the sand until they become pasty. Two ml of sterile distilled water were then added and crushing was completed till the sample became a suspension. Then, 2 ml of 4% conc.  $H_2SO_4$  were added and incubated for 30 min. then diluted in 16 ml of sterile distilled water and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 m'. The supernatant was decanted into 5% phenol and the sediment was used for direct smear and inoculated into 4 ml of L-J medium slant then incubated at 37°C. Cultures were examined daily for one week and then once weekly for 6-8 weeks.

### 2.3. Identification of isolated Mycobacteria:

**Physico-chemical characters:** it was carried out according to (Kubica, 1973)

#### **Morphological characters:**

Smears from suspected colonies were prepared and be allowed to dry and heat fixed. The fixed smears were stained with Z.N stain and examined under oil immersion objective lens to detect the colour, shape, size, and arrangement.

### 2.4. Sample preparation and sterilization:

#### **Molecular diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* complex:**

The contaminated samples with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* were examined by RT-PCR as follow.

#### **Preparation of the samples for DNA extraction:**



Each piece of infected samples with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* was homogenized in phosphate buffer saline PBS (0.14M NaCl, 4mM KCl, 8mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 6.5 buffers according to (Wards et al., 1995).

## 2.5. Test pathogens:

### Extraction of mycobacterial DNA from infected tissues:

The extraction was carried out according to the instruction of extraction kit of as follow:

1. Lysis and digestion: 20mg of grinded tissue + 180ul digestion sol. + 20ul proteinase K + mix and incubate at 56 °c for 3hr. Fixation: Transfer lysate to purification column, centrifuge for 1min./ 8000 rpm, discard the collection tube then place column into new collection tube

2. Washing: was added 500 ul wash buffer 1, was centrifuged for 1 min./ 10000 rpm then discarded flow- through, add 500 ul wash buffer 11 + was centrifuged 4 min./ 14000 rpm, discard collection tube. Elution: was Putten column in a new microfuge tube, was added elution buffer + was incubated 2 min. + and was centrifuged for 1 min./ 10000 rpm.

### Detection of *M. bovis* complex:

Real time PCR was performed according to the kit obtained from biovision®

The oligonucleotide primer used to detect the *Mycobacterium bovis*

Forward 5'-  
CAGGGATCCACCATGTTCTTAGCGGGTT  
G-3'.

Reverse 5'-  
TGGCGAATTCTTACTGTGCCGGGGG -3'.

(Xiu-yun et al., 2006). Real-time PCR was performed according to Ben Kahla et al., (2011) by using MTplexdtc-RT-qPCR Test (Edifici-Quórum3, Spain) that comprises a series of species-specific targeted reagents designed for detection of all species contained in the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* complex (Van et al., 1991). Extracted DNA from the suspected samples was subjected to RT- PCR. The primers and Taq Man probe target a sequence conserved for all strains belonging to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* complex. The reaction of 20 µl final volume consisted of 10 µl Hot Start-Mix qPCR 2x, 1 µl MTplexdtc-q PCR-mix, 4 µl DNase/RNase free water and 5 µl DNA sample., the reaction conditions consisted of one cycle of 95°C for 5

min followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 0.5 m' and 60°C for 1m' for hybridization, extension and data collection. The reaction was run in Applied Biosystems Step One Real Time PCR System, and FAM fluorogenic signal was collected, and the cycle threshold of the reactions was detected by Step One™ software version 2.2.2 (Life Technology). The threshold cycle (TC) was defined as 10 times the standard deviation of the mean baseline fluorescence emission calculated for PCR cycles 3-15. For a sample to be considered positive, the corresponding amplification curve had to exhibit three distinct phases (geometric, linear, and plateau) that characterize the progression of the PCR reaction.

## 2.5. Irradiation process

Irradiation was performed at the National Center for Radiation Research and Technology (NCRRT) Atomic Energy Authority, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt. The samples (approximately 25 mm thickness) were irradiated for different doses of gamma rays (0.0, 2.5, 5 and 7.5 kGy) emitted from <sup>60</sup>Co at dose rate 40 kGy/ hour (3 samples for each dose). The control samples (0.0 kGy) were left unirradiated. The samples were then transferred to the laboratory for the bacteriological examination, while the remaining samples were frozen stored at - 20 °C immediately for subsequent analyses.

## 2.6. Detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* by RT-PCR:

The samples were reexamined after the irradiation exposure for the presence of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* by using 4 doses levels 0.0, 2.5, 5, 7.5 kGy using RT-PCR.

## 3-Results and Discussion

Effects of Gamma irradiation on the viability of *Mycobacterium bovis*. It is clear that ionizing radiation treatment effectively decreased the viable microbial population with increasing radiation dose and in particular, Miller, 2005 stated that gamma rays have limited penetration depth on the bacterial cell wall, which may affect microbial inactivation. In this study bacterial viability was abrogated at (2.5 kGy) and (5 kGy) and (7 kGy) of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* by gamma irradiation. Moreover, negative information disseminated by opponents of irradiation could also affect consumer acceptance of irradiated food.



In the present study, all collected samples from T.B. infected carcasses were exposed to Gamma radiation at three absorbed dose levels of 2.5, 5 & 7.5 kGy, showed that at 0.0 kGy all samples still 100 % infected & 46.6% still infected at 2.5 kGy & 20 % still infected at 5 kGy and, At 7.5 % all examined samples are failed to be


detected of T.B. Infected offal's. More over, the examined samples of T.B. Infected lymph nodes showed that at 0 kGy all samples still 100 % infected & 53.3 % still infected at 2.5 kGy & 13.3 % still infected at 5 kGy and, at 7.5 % all examined samples are free from *mycobacterium* infection. .

**Table (1): The effect of Gamma rays exposure on T.B. infected samples and Control:**

Tissue	No. of Samples	Used doses			
		0	2.5	5	7.5
		PCR Positive			
T.B. Infected offal's (liver&kidney)	15	15	7	3	0
T.B. Infected Lymph nodes (Hepatic & Renal)	15	15	8	2	0
Control Samples	5	5	---	---	---

As mentioned in a Table (1) the infected samples with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* which exposure to doses levels 2.5, 5 & 7.5 kGy which were reexamined for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine type* by using RT-PCR showed that, the T.B. infected offal's, the PCR can confirmed the infection of 7 samples out of 15 tested samples at 2.5 KGy while 3 positive samples only at 5 KGy and failed to be detected at 7.5 KGy.

Also, the T.B. infected lymph nodes, the PCR can confirmed the infection of 8 samples out of 15 tested samples at 2.5 KGy while 2 positive samples only at 5 KGy and failed to be detected at 7.5 KGy.



**Fig. (1): The effect of Gamma rays exposure on T.B. infected samples and Control:**

Foodborne pathogens are associated with food processing plants and slaughtered animals, the basic raw materials of the food industry. With the exception of foods that are thermally treated to the degree of sterilization, all food products are frequently associated with microorganisms (Pattanayaiying, et al., 2015). The most important risk of these infectious diseases is tuberculosis. Bovine tuberculosis is now generally perceived to represent the greatest threat to cattle health, its caused by *M.bovis* and can affect a large number of species, including humans (Cobner, 2003).

Ionizing radiation was first patented in 1905 as a microbial inactivation technology and was first evaluated in 1921 against trichinae in pork

(Negut, et al, 2012). Ionizing radiation has enough energy to remove electrons from atoms and leads to ion formation. Ionizing radiation comes in different forms depending on the source (X-ray, gamma rays, and beta rays); however, all forms exert their effects by "stripping" electrons from atoms. This irradiation causes breaks in the DNA and/or RNA helix and leads to the disruption of normal cellular functions by damaging nucleic acids by either direct or indirect effect (Kuan et al., 2013).

Food irradiation processing technology and gamma irradiation are widely used as a safe and proven method worldwide for the preservation of food products. Food irradiation was approved by the FAO/IAEA/WHO in 1981 with maximum



irradiation doses of up to 10 KGy (*Lacroix, & Ouattara, 2000*). More than 50 countries worldwide have approved the irradiation of over 60 food products (*Food-Irradiation, 2015*). Furthermore, government regulation considerably varies from country to country. Each country has adopted its own unique approach to the introduction, approval, and

regulation of this technology in food production. Although there is agreement among international committee experts that food is safe and wholesome for consumption after irradiation with doses up to 10 kGy, food irradiation at this dose has not been approved in any country. Most countries approve food irradiation on a case-by-case basis (*FDA, 2016*).

**Table (2):** The effect of exposure of Gamma rays on T.B. infected samples:

Tissue	NO. of Samples	Used doses			
		0	2.5	5	7.5
		Redaction rate %			
T.B. Infected offal's (liver&kidney)	15	100 %	46.6%	20 %	0 %
T.B. Infected Lymph nodes (Hepatic & Renal)	15	100 %	53.3 %	13.3 %	0 %

As mentioned in Table (2) the conclusion for T.B. Infected samples the reduction rate is decreased by increase the dose level of Gamma rays. At 0 kGy all samples still 100 % infected & 46.6 % still infected at 2.5 kGy & 20 % still infected at 5 kGy and, At 7.5 % all examined samples are free regarding samples of T.B.

Infected offal's. And also, the examined samples of T.B. Infected lymph nodes showed that at 0 kGy all samples still 100 % infected & 53.3 % still infected at 2.5 kGy & 13.3 % still infected at 5 kGy and, at 7.5 % all examined samples are free from *mycobacterium* infection.

**Table (3):** The effect on (Color & Odor & Texture) parameter after exposure to Gamma rays on T.B. infected samples:

Parameter	NO. of Samples	SCORE					
		24 hours			1 week		
		1	2	3	1	2	3
Color	25	5	20	---	25	---	---
Odor	25	---	25	---	25	---	---
Texture	25	20	5	---	20	5	---

(1) No. of samples with normal (Color & Odor & Texture)

(2) No. of samples with slight changes in (Color & Odor & Texture)

(3) No. of samples with abnormal changes in (Color & Odor & Texture)

The obtained results from our study about the effect on (Color & Odor & Texture) parameter after exposure to Gamma rays on T.B. infected samples proved that most of tested samples have slight changes in color (pale color), odor (characteristic odor of irradiation) and texture (friable) in the first 24 hours and all tested samples have been returned back into the normal parameter after 1 week. As mentioned in Table (3).

More investigations were required for the application of Gamma rays to eliminate the tubercle bacilli from the infected offal's to save the huge amount of contamination meat even to use it as low grade meat. Further, work is needed to evaluate the in vivo assays after feeding the experimental animals on the irradiated food stuff.



## References

- Bartzatt, R.; Cirillo, S. L.G. and Cirillo, Jeffrey, D. (2008):** Determination of Molecular Properties Effectuating the Growth Inhibition of *Mycobacterium Tuberculosis* by Various Small Molecule Hydrazides Letters in Drug Design & Discovery, 5(3): 162-168.
- Ben, k.; Boschioli, M.L.; Souissi, F.; Cherif, N.; Benzarti, M.; Boukadida, J. and Hammami, S. (2011):** Isolation and molecular characterization of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis bovine* type from raw milk in Tunisia. African Health Science, 11(51): 52-55.
- Borsa, J.; Lacroix, M.; Ouattara, B. and Chiasson, F. (2004):** Radiosensitization: Enhancing the radiation inactivation of food borne bacteria. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 71:137-41.
- Byrd-Bredbenner, C.; Cohn, M. N.; Farber, J. M.; Harris, L. J.; Roberts, T. and Salin, V. (2015):** Food safety considerations for innovative nutrition solutions. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1347: 29-44.
- Center for Disease Control (2004):** Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food—Selected sites, United States, 2003. Morb. Mortal. Weekly Rep., 53(16): 338-343.
- Cobner, A. (2003):** Bovine tuberculosis: clinical update and on-farm advice. In Practice, 25(10): 606-613.
- Davis, KJ; Sebranek J; Huff-Lonergan, E; Ahn DU and Lonergan, S.M. (2004):** The effects of irradiation on quality of injected fresh pork loins. Meat Sci., 67:395-401.
- Dogbevi M. K; Vachon, C and Lacroix, M. (1999):** Physicochemical and microbiological changes in irradiated fresh pork loins. Meat Sci., 51:349-54.
- Food and Drug Administration. (2016):** U. S. regulatory requirements for irradiating foods (Accessed on October 2016) <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackaging/Labeling/IrradiatedFoodPackaging/ucm110730.htm>.
- Food-Irradiation. (2015):** (Accessed on June 2015): <http://www.ifst.org/knowledgecentre/information-statements/food-irradiation>.
- Food-Safety. (2015):** (Accessed on August 2015): [http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scf/out15\\_en.html](http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scf/out15_en.html).
- Fox, J. A. (2002).** Influences on purchase of irradiated foods. Food Tech., 56(11)34-37.
- Hines, M.E.; Kreeger, J.M. and Smith, P.K. (1995):** Mycobacterial infections of animals. Pathology and Pathogenesis. Lab. Anim. Sci., 45: 334-351.
- Junqueira-Gonc-alves, M. P.; Maria, J. G.; Ximena, V.; Carolina, M. D.; Paulina, A. and Joseph, M. (2011):** Perception and view of consumers on food irradiation and the radura symbol, Radiation Physics & Chem., 80:119-122.
- Khan, I. and Oh, D.-H. (2016):** Integration of nisin into nanoparticles for application in foods. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 34: 376-384.
- Khan, I.; Ullah, S. and Oh, D.-H. (2016):** Chitosan grafted monomethyl fumaric acid as a potential food preservative. Carbohydrate Polymers, 152: 87-96.
- Kuan, Y.-H., Bhat, R.; Patras, A. and Karim, A. A. (2013):** Radiation processing of food proteins - a review on the recent developments. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 30(2); 105-120.
- Kubica, G.P. (1973):** "Differential identification of Mycobacteria; VII key features for identification of clinically significant mycobacteria" Am.Rev.Resp.Dis.,107:9-21.
- Lacroix, M. and Ouattara, B. (2000):** Combined industrial processes with irradiation to assure innocuity and preservation of food products review. Food Research International, 33(9):719-724.
- Lagunas-Solar, M. C. (1995):** Radiation processing of foods: An overview of scientific principles and current status. Journal of Food Protection, 58: 186-192.
- Landgraf, M.; Gaularte, L.; Martins, C.; Cestari, A.; Nunes, T.; Aragon, L.; Destro, M.; Behrens, J.; Vizeu, D. and Hutzler, B. (2006):** Use of irradiation to improve the microbiological safety of minimally processed fruits and vegetables, IAEA-TECDOC-1530: 41-59.
- Marcotte, M. (2005):** Effect of irradiation on spices, herbs, and seasonings-comparison with ethylene oxide fumigation, [www.foodirradiation.com](http://www.foodirradiation.com).



- Marks, J. (1972):** "Ending the routine guinea pig test." *Tubercle*, 53: 31-34.
- Martin, S.W., Dietrich, R.A., Genno, P., Heuschele, W.P., Jones, R.L.; Koller, M., Lee, J.D.; Lopez, H.C.; Moon, H.W., Robinson, R.A., Smith, P.L and Williams, G.W. (1994):** Livestock disease eradication: Evaluation of the cooperative state federal bovine tuberculosis eradication program. National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C. pp. 1-97.
- Miller, R. B. (2005):** Food irradiation using electron beams. In: Miller RB (ed.) *Minimally Processed Foods*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 279-300.
- Morehouse, K. M. (2002):** Food irradiation—US regulatory considerations. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 63: 281-284.
- Negut, C.-D., Bercu, V. and Dului, O.-G. (2012).** Defects induced by gamma irradiation in historical pigments. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 13(4): 397e403.
- Oliveira, I. B., and Sabato, S. F. (2002).** Brazilian consumer acceptance of irradiated food: initial trials. *The Americas Nuclear Energy Symposiums* (ANES 2002), <http://www.anes.fiu.edu/Pro/s7oli.pdf>, (reached on June 18, 2004).
- Pattanayaiying, R.; Aran, H. and Cutter, C. N. (2015):** Incorporation of nisin Z and lauric arginate into pullulan films to inhibit foodborne pathogens associated with fresh and ready-to-eat muscle foods. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 207:77-82.
- Prasad, H.K.; Singhal, A., Mishra, A.; Shah, N.P.; Katoch, V.M.; Thakral, S.S. and others. (2005):** Bovine tuberculosis in India: Potential basis for zoonosis. *Tuberculosis*, 85(5&6): 421-428.
- Radostis, O.M.; Gay, C.C.; Blood, D.C. and Hinchdiff, K.W. (2000):** *Veterinary Medicine, A text book of the diseases of cattle, sheep,*
- Resurreccion, A. V. A.; and Galvez, F. C. F. (1999):** Will consumer buy irradiated beef? *Food Technology*, 53(3): 52-55.
- Samad, M.A. (2000):** *Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science*. 1st pub. LEP Pub No. 11, BAU Campus, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- Unluturk, S.; Atilgan M.R.; Baysal, A.H. and Tari, C. (2007):** Use of UV-C radiation as a non thermal process for liquid egg products (LEP) *Journal of Food Engineerin*, 85:561-68.
- USDA/ERS (2000):** Economics of foodborne disease: Feature. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Economic Research Service, Washington, D.C. [www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/FoodborneDisease/features.htm](http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/FoodborneDisease/features.htm).
- USDA/FSIS. (2004).** FSIS Recalls: Closed Federal Cases. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service, Washington, D.C. [www.fsis.usda.gov/Fsis\\_Recalls/Closed\\_Federal\\_Cases\\_2004/index.asp](http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Fsis_Recalls/Closed_Federal_Cases_2004/index.asp).
- Van, S.; Hermans, P., Haas, P.; Roll, D. and Van, D. (1991):** "Occurrence and Stability of Insertion Sequences in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Complex Strains: Evaluation of an Insertion Sequence-Dependent DNA Polymorphism as a Tool in the Epidemiology of Tuberculosis" *J. of Clinical Microbiology*, 29 (11): 2578-2586.
- Wards, B. J.; Collins, D. M.; Lisle, G. W. and De-Lisle, G. W. (1995):** Detection of *M. bovis* in tissues by polymerase chain reaction. *J. Vet. Microbiol.*, 43: 227-240.
- Xiu-yun, J., Wang, C., Zhang, P. and Zhao-yang, H. (2006):** Cloning and Expression of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* bovine type Secreted Protein MPB83 in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Biochem. & Molecul. Biol.*, 39: (1) 22-25.



## المخلص العربي

دراسة تأثير اشعة جاما على الأحشاء البقرية المصابة بميكروب السل البقري  
 \*أ.د. فهم عزيز الدين شلتوت - \*\*أ.د. عماد مختار رياض - \*\*\*أ.د. طارق السيد أحمد - \*\*\*أسماء أبو الحسن عبد الرحمن  
 \*قسم مراقبة الاغذية - كلية الطب البيطري جامعة بنها - \*\*معهد بحوث صحة الحيوان - \*\*\*قسم النظائر المشعة-مركز  
 البحوث. هيئة الطاقة الذرية - \*\*\*\*الهيئة العامة للخدمات البيطرية  
 يزداد الوعي بالأمراض التي تنتقل عن طريق الأغذية من جانب المستهلكين، ومن ثم سيزداد الضغط لتحسين سلامة المواد  
 الغذائية. أشعة جاما فعالة للغاية في القضاء على البكتيريا المسببة للأمراض في مختلف الأغذية، ويقدم طريقة بديلة آمنة للقضاء على  
 التلوث الغذائي. في هذه الدراسة تم جمع مجموعه 30 عينة من درنات الذبائح البقرية عبارة عن (15 عينة من الأحشاء (الكبد  
 والقلوب والكلاوى) و 15 عينة من الغدد الليمفاوية المختلفة بالذبيحة وعدد 5 عينات (control) وذلك من الإبقار المذبوحة في  
 بعض المحافظات المصرية وتؤكد أنها مصابة بميكروب السل البقري بواسطة تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل حقيقي الوقت (RT- PCR)  
 وتم معاملتها بالإشعاع بجرعات 0 (control) و 2.5 و 5 و 7.5 كيلو جراى من أشعة جاما في مركز تكنولوجيا الإشعاع - وحدة  
 التشعيع الجامى في مدينة نصر - القاهرة، مصر وتم إعادة فحصها مرة أخرى بواسطة تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل حقيقي الوقت  
 (RT- PCR) لفحص مدى إصابتها بميكروب السل البقري بعد التشعيع الجامى وأظهرت النتائج أن العينات المشعة بالنسبة لعينات  
 الأحشاء البقرية عند جرعات 2.5، 5، 7.5 كغ كانت النتائج 46.6%، 20%، 0% على الترتيب فى حين انه عند تعرض  
 عينات الغدد الليمفاوية بنفس جرعات التشعيع الجامى كانت النتائج 53.3%، 13.3%، 0% على الترتيب.  
 وبدراسة التأثير التعرض لأشعة جاما على كلا من اللون والملبس والرائحة حيث تبين ان اللون أصبح فاتح والرائحة المميزة  
 للإشعاع والملبس أصبح هشاً في خلال اول 24 ساعة من التعرض للإشعاع وبعد اسبوع عادت جميع صفات العينات الى حالتها  
 الطبيعية.  
 الخلاصة : اكدت الدراسة ان التشعيع الجامى بمصادر التشعيع المستخدمة طريقة مناسبة للقضاء على حيوية ميكروب السل البقري  
 في الذبائح المصابة بالميكروب وبالتالي ضمان سلامة اللحوم البقرية المذبوحة وتم القضاء عليها نهائيا عند جرعة 7.5 كيلو جراى.



# FACULTY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE – GIZA - EGYPT



**Contact e-mail:** [vet.med.j@gmail.com](mailto:vet.med.j@gmail.com)